Effector proteins of phytopathogenic bacteria: bifunctional signals in virulence and host recognition

Susanne Kjemtrup, Zachary Nimchuk and Jeffery L Dangl*

Phytopathogenic bacteria deliver effectors of disease into plant hosts via a Type III secretion system. These Type III effectors have genetically determined roles in virulence. They also are among the components recognized by the putative receptors of the plant innate immune system. Recent breakthroughs include localization of some of these Type III effectors to specific host cell compartments, and the first dissection of pathogenicity islands that carry them.

Addresses

Department of Biology and Curriculum in Genetics and Molecular Biology (JLD), University of North Carolina, Coker Hall, Room 108, CB#3280, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-380, USA *e-mail: dangl@email.unc.edu

Current Opinion in Microbiology 2000, 3:73-78

1369-5274/00/\$ – see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations

AD activating domain avr avirulence HR hypersensitive response LRR leucine-rich repeat

URR leucine-rich repeat
ORF open reading frame
PAI pathogenicity island

R resistance

Introduction

Pathogenic bacteria invading plant tissues must survive a defense system that is very different from the circulating animal immune system. Although mechanisms of bacterial infection of plants and animals were historically thought to be very different, recent advances in the understanding of plant defense mechanisms, bacterial secretion systems, and pathogenicity islands reveals a convergence of infection mechanisms between bacterial pathogens of plants and animals [1,2**,3].

Resistance to bacterial infection by plants is often determined by the presence of a resistance (R) gene in plants and an avirulence (avr) gene in bacteria [1]. When both of these genetic determinants are present, host defense responses are triggered and pathogen colonization is limited. The absence of either of these genetic components results in disease. Plant defense responses often include cell wall cross-linking, the release of active oxygen species, expression of antimicrobial compounds, and a form of localized cell death, termed the hypersensitive response (HR). Many avr genes have been cloned from phytopathogenic bacteria and are diverse in structure [4]. In contrast, the vast majority of R genes encode proteins that contain leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domains coupled to a small variety of other putative signaling domains [5 $^{\bullet \bullet}$].

The paradoxical presence of bacterial avr genes, which by initiating host defense limit pathogen fitness, is resolved by studies demonstrating that various avr gene products can function as virulence factors on hosts lacking the corresponding R gene [6–8]. Because isolates of phytopathgenic bacteria generally contain only one or a few genes defined by their avirulence function, it can be assumed that virulence is provided by sets of genetically redundant effectors. Either function, initiation of R-mediated defenses or enhancement of virulence, requires a bacterially encoded Type III secretion system. [9,10]. Thus, Avr proteins are probable Type III effector proteins. Consistent with this concept, substantial evidence has shown that many Avr proteins can be perceived by R genes when expressed in host cells $[11-15,16^{\bullet},17,18^{\bullet}]$. Whether Avr and R proteins interact directly to produce Rdependent responses is still an open question. We describe here recent studies concerning the distribution of avr genes among phytopathogenic bacteria, and how the corresponding Avr proteins are exported from bacteria and perceived by host cells. Previous progress in this topic has also been covered in earlier issues of this journal [3,10,19].

Pathogenicity islands: mechanism for evolution of virulence

Virulence and regulatory genes involved in bacterial pathogenicity are frequently located in blocks on the bacterial chromosome called pathogenicity islands (PAIs). Peculiar to PAIs are DNA sequences indicative of gene mobility such as transposases, flanking direct repeats, or insertion sequence (IS) elements. These regions generally have a different G+C content than their host genome, suggesting acquisition via horizontal gene transfer [20]. PAIs have also been localized to mobilizable plasmids [21] and, interestingly, many *avr* genes are also plasmid localized [22].

A plasmid-encoded PAI was recently isolated from race 7 of *Pseudomonas* pv *phaseolicola* strain 1449B [23••]. Cured of a 154 kb plasmid, this strain is no longer virulent on bean, demonstrating a virulence function for this plasmid. Cosmid clones complementing this loss of virulence were isolated. Sequencing and mutational analysis of these cosmids revealed classic PAI elements: consensus Type III transcriptional regulatory sequences preceding functional virulence genes and avirulence genes, a lower G+C content than the chromosome, insertion sequences homologous to those of *Yersinia pestis* (IS100) and a transposase found in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Tn501) [23••].

The presence of these mobile elements expanded an earlier computer analysis of database derived *avr* open reading frames (ORFs) This study demonstrated that 14 of 19 published *avr* ORFs are associated with IS elements and transposase terminal repeats [24]. It therefore seems

Table 1

Avr protein	Delivery system	Recipient plant	Probable subcellular location	Cell phenotype	Pathogen of origin [22]
AvrB	Agrobacterium Stable transformation; inducible promoter	Arabidopsis	Plasma membrane ^a	Chlorosis ^a	P. syringae pv. glycinea
AvrRpm1	Agrobacterium Stable transformation; inducible promoter	Arabidopsis	Plasma membrane ^a	None	P. syringae pv. maculicola
AvrE	Agrobacterium	Arabidopsis	ND	Tissue necrosis ^b	P. syringae pv. tomato
AvrPto	Potato virus X	Tomato, N. benthamiana	ND	Necrosis [17]	P. syringae pv. tomato
AvrPto	Agrobacterium	Arabidopsis	Membranec	No responseb	P. syringae pv. tomato
PthA	Agrobacterium and particle bombardment	Citrus	Nuclear [51]	Cell division; canker formation [18*]	X. citri
AvrRpt2	Stable transformation; inducible promoter	Arabidopsis	ND	Browning [16*]	P. syringae pv. tomato
AvrPphE	Agrobacterium	Bean	ND	Weak browning [15]	P. syringae pv. phaseolicol
AvrPphB	Agrobacterium	Bean	ND	Weak browning [15]	P. syringae pv. phaseolicol
AvrBs3	Agrobacterium	Pepper	Nuclear [35]	No response [35]	X. campestris pv. glycinea

^aZ Nimchuk, S Kjemtrup, E Marois, RT Leister, F Katagiri, JL Dangl, unpublished data. ^bSY He, personal communication. ^cX Tang, personal communication. ND, not determined.

that phytopathogenic bacteria utilize transposon-based mechanism for acquiring or deleting avr genes.

Unpublished results from our laboratory suggest that both transposition and plasmid excision can occur in response to selective pressures initiated by host defense responses. P. syringae. pv maculicola strain M6 (PsmM6) contains a chromosomal copy of avrRpm1 that is recognized by the Arabidopsis R gene RPM1. Following infection of RPM1 Arabidopsis (but not rpm1-null plants), we observed a novel plasmid containing avrRpm1 in each colony assayed. Five percent of this bacterial population is also virulent on RPM1 Arabidopsis, due, in at least one case, to a Tn3 disruption of the avrRpm1 ORF. This excision event is dependent on R-mediated recognition and several additional genetically defined steps in the Arabidopsis disease resistance response pathway (P Marchesini, S Kjemtrup, L Rohmer, J Dangl, unpublished data).

In P. syringae pv pisi, the avrRpm1 homologue avrPpiA1 is either plasmid borne or chromosomally localized in phylogenetically different strains. The avrPpiA1 chromosomal region from one isolate contains an 8.5kb insert bounded by imperfect direct repeats compared with an avrPpiA1-null isolate from the same phylogenic group [25]. Although no known transposase is associated with the characterized repeats, the direct repeat structure suggests that the isolates are related by either a transposon insertion or deletion event.

Delivery of virulence genes: Type III secretion

Type III secretion systems encode host-cell-contact-dependent secretion systems found in many Gram-negative pathogenic bacteria [2...]. This secretion system delivers bacterial virulence effectors to the interior of host cells. Proteins secreted by the Type III system have no apparent common amino acid motif suggestive of a secretion signal. However, amino-terminal frameshift mutated YopN and YopE virulence factors fused to neomycin phosphotransferase II (NPTII) are still secreted by Yersinia, indicating that the secretion signal may actually reside in the tertiary 5' mRNA structure [26]. Interestingly, Type III secretion can be co-translational, as shown for the Yersinia virulence factor YopQ. When the Type III secretion pathway is blocked, YopQ is not translated and presynthesized YopQ cannot be exported from the cell [27].

In phytopathogenic bacteria, the genes encoding components of the Type III system were originally designated hrp (hypersensitive response and pathogenicity). Those with broad conservation among many Type III systems have been renamed hrc (hypersensitive response, pathogenicity and conserved) [28]. The hrp/hrc regulon includes regulatory genes, effectors, and structural components of the secretion apparatus [29]. Recent reports show that Avr proteins secreted in a Type III-dependent manner from phytopathogenic bacteria may also require a 5' mRNA secretion signal. Frameshift mutations in the amino terminus of avrPto fused to NPTII still allowed Type III-dependent secretion of the fusion protein into culture medium, suggesting that the secretion signal for AvrPto also resides in the mRNA structure [30•]. Computer modeling suggests that the mRNA 5' end of Type III secreted proteins can share a common structure composed of the AUG start codon embedded in a stem-loop, although this prediction requires experimental validation.

Type III effectors from phytopathogens can be secreted by heterologous Type III systems indicating the mRNA secretion signal can be recognized by different systems. The Yersinia Type III system can secrete the P. syringae derived Avr proteins, AvrPto and AvrB, while the Erwinia chrysanthemi hrp system recognizes and secretes YopE and YopQ, albeit at lower efficiency than in Yersinia [30°]. Confirming these results is a study by Rossier et al. [31°] showing that a constitutively active hrp system from a Xanthomonas strain can recognize and secrete the effector protein PopA from Ralstonia solanacearum, the avirulence protein AvrB from *P. syringae*, and indeed, YopE from Yersinia. The nonselective nature of these Type III secretion systems points to a common mechanism for injecting effectors of plant and animal pathogens in to host cells.

Translocation of Avr proteins through the hrp/hrc system

Culture conditions mimicking the plant apoplast have long been known to induce *hrp*-dependent *avr* expression [32]. Two groups have now described conditions to detect Avr protein secretion. Rossier et al. [31°] employed a *Xanthomonas* strain that constitutively expresses *hrp* genes due to a hypermorphic mutation in the regulatory hrpG gene. Hrp-dependent secretion of Avr proteins could be detected in acidic minimal medium containing bovine serum albumin. Similar conditions for Type III-dependent secretion by *P. syringae* were described by van Dijk *et al.* [33]: an acidic minimal media with a temperature optimum between 18-20°C.

Despite the overwhelming functional data suggesting that the hrp system delivers effectors into the plant cell, hrpdependent translocation of Avr proteins into plant cells was difficult to demonstrate. Mudgett and Staskawicz [34**] provide compelling evidence for translocation of P. syringae derived AvrRpt2 to the Arabidopsis host cell during infection. Transgenic plants expressing AvrRpt2 proteolytically process the protein to a short form that is not found in bacterial lysates. The same processed form is detected in bacterially infected tissue in a Type III-dependent manner. That the plant protease activity responsible for this cleavage was demonstrated to be intracellular, and not apoplastic, is further confirmation of both AvrRpt2 translocation and an intracellular effector site for its action.

Localization of Avr proteins in host plant cells

What is the fate of *avr*-encoded Type III effector proteins once they are inside a host cell? Indirect evidence suggests that some Avr proteins are directed to specific subcellular locations that in turn may reflect the location of respective host targets. Members of the Xanthomonas avrBs3 family, including both avrBs3 and avrXa10, contain functional nuclear localization sequences (NLS) required for R gene activity, suggesting that recognition occurs in the host nucleus [19,35]. Interestingly, the carboxy-terminal of AvrXa10 contains a transcriptional activating domain (AD) which is active in both yeast and plants [36]. Mutations in this

domain that abolish transcriptional activity also abolish avirulence function. This domain can also be replaced by the AD from the VP16 herpes simplex virus protein without abolishing avirulence activity [37. These results suggest that AvrXa10-dependent transcriptional activity is necessary for triggering R gene function. It is not clear how this transcriptional activity per se is required for R gene recognition as Xa10 recognition specificity maps not to the AD but the central region of avrXa10 [36]. This is consistent with the original demonstration that recognition of the related avrBs3 gene by Bs3 also mapped to the central, variable copy number, repeat region [19]. It is possible that the AD may either play a role in Xa10 binding or AvrXa10 stability in planta, or recruit AvrXa10 to a nuclear complex containing Xa10.

A class of *P. syringae* Avr proteins may be targeted to the host cell plasma membrane. AvrB, AvrC, AvrRpm1 and AvrPto all contain predicted acylation sites at their amino termini. In eukaryotes, amino-terminal modification such as myristoylation and palmitoylation promote association with membrane compartments [38]. Both AvrB and AvrRpm1 are recognized by RPM1 in Arabidopsis [39]. Unpublished results from our laboratory demonstrate that mutations in the consensus acylation sites of AvrB and AvrRpm1 abolish avirulence functions of both proteins, and the virulence function of AvrRpm1 (Z Nimchuk, E Marois, S Kjemtrup, RT Leister, F Katagiri, J Dangl, unpublished data). In addition, AvrB and AvrRpm1 are myristoylated and plasma membrane localized when expressed in planta. Membrane localization correlates with avirulence activity, strongly suggesting that recognition of either AvrB or AvrRpm1 by RPM1 occurs at the plasma membrane. Consistent with this hypothesis, RPM1 is a peripheral plasma membrane protein [40].

Although AvrPto also localizes to a membrane fraction when expressed in planta (T Leister and F Katagiri; X Tang, personal communications), a mutant Pto protein that cannot be myristoylated still functions when overexpressed [41]. It is possible that Pto may be recruited to the membrane upon AvrPto translocation or that Pto sequesters incoming AvrPto to the cytoplasm. Alternatively, overexpression of the mutant delivers sufficient quantities of Pto to the membrane, as observed for overexpression of animal G alpha mutants [42].

Interaction with R gene products: the receptor complex

The ligand-receptor model predicts that resistant plants recognize incoming Avr proteins via direct interaction with cognate R proteins [43]. In support of this hypothesis, a direct interaction between the tomato Pto kinase and AvrPto was demonstrated in yeast [12,13]. However, the majority of predicted R genes are LRR containing products and do not resemble Pto. Although the Pto kinase binds AvrPto in vitro, Pto-mediated resistance genetically requires the LRR Prf gene product [44]. Prf acts downstream of, or with Pto [45]. This raises the possibility that

recognition of AvrPto requires a multi-protein complex. G Martin and A Bogdanove, (personal communication) have identified potential AvrPto/Pto complex participants using a yeast three hybrid system.

Virulence functions of Type III effectors

Although several avr genes are now known to contribute to pathogen virulence on susceptible hosts, the mechanism by which they do so and the relevant host targets are unknown. It is interesting that some avr genes induce phenotypic effects when expressed in host plants that lack the corresponding R allele (see Table 1). In animal-bacterial pathosystems, similar cytotoxic effects on host cells are triggered by Type III effectors, and in many cases these effects identify host targets that are relevant during infection[46–48]. Thus, it is possible that the effects of avr gene expression in plant hosts may be indicative of their underlying virulence function. Ectopic expression of pthA from Xanthomonas citri in susceptible hosts triggers cell enlargement, division and death [18°]. These effects phenocopy disease symptoms attributed to PthA during pathogen infections on these hosts. This finding is supported by the initial observation that AvrBs3, which is related to PthA, also can initiate ectopic cell expansion in susceptible hosts [35]. This is a rich area for further inquiry. For example, will different Type III effectors target a limited set of cellular processes, including inhibition of host defense responses (see below)? Will there be genetically tractable experiments in which to identify host loci controlling the phenotypic effects of these pathogen proteins? Also will the expression of Type III effectors in susceptible hosts result in activation of genes different from those activated during a defense response?

One function of Avr proteins during virulence is likely to be the suppression of inducible host defenses. Curing of the 154 kb plasmid from P. syringae pv phaseolicola race 7 results in strains that trigger HR-like responses on previously susceptible hosts [23. This suggests that one function of the plasmid-born virulence genes is to interfere with host recognition of chromosomal avr genes. B Kunkel and co-workers (personal communication) report that avrRpt2 can increase pathogen aggressiveness on susceptible hosts and that this effect is correlated with a suppression of inducible defense responses. In addition, expression of AvrRpt2 in planta is capable of blocking avrB or avrRpm1 activation of RPM1 [49,50], but does not inhibit other avr-R gene combinations in Arabidopsis (B Runkel, personal communication). This finding may help elucidate the connections between general inducible defenses and specific R gene defense triggering in hosts.

Conclusions

The results and tools described here open avenues to study fundamental questions in plant-pathogen interactions. The existence of PAIs and associated mobile elements is evidence that host range of phytopathogens is molded by horizontal gene transfer. Characterization of Type III regulated ORFs on PAIs should provide insights into virulence mechanisms. In vitro secretion assays for Type III systems will undoubtedly help elucidate regulatory mechanisms and identify novel effector proteins. Expression of Type III effector proteins in host plant cells will define subcellular sites of action, identify targets in susceptible hosts and help understand R-mediated recognition of Avr proteins. These studies will reveal both the similarities and differences between bacterial pathogenesis of animals and plants. They will also provide novel protein probes of normal host cellular functions.

Note added in proof

An important recent demonstration of direct transfer of chromosomal copper resistance and hrp genes from a donor X. axonopodis pv. vesicatoria to a recipient strain of the same species provides compelling evidence for horizontal transmission. Interestingly, the frequency of gene transfer was greater from in planta matings than from plate matings, implicating a plant factor involvement with chromosomal transfer [52••].

Acknowledgements

We thank our many colleagues who provided unpublished material for inclusion in this review. Our work on P. syringae Type III effector proteins is funded by grant DE-FG05-95ER20187 from the US Department of Energy, Division of Basic Energy Biosciences to JL Dangl. S Kjemtrup was the recipient of a Public Health Services Post-Doctoral Fellowship GM17612.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Martin G: Functional analysis of plant disease resistance genes and their downstream effectors. Curr Opin Plant Biol 1999, 2:2773-2779.
- 2. Galan J, Collmer A: Type III secretion machines: bacterial devices for protein delivery into host cells. Science 1999, 284:1322-1328. An excellent overview of the state of the art in both animal and plant
- Lawrence J: Gene transfer, speciation, and the evolution of bacterial genomes, Curr Opin Microbiol 1999, 2:519-523
- Vivian A, Gibbon M: Avirulence genes in plant pathogenic bacteria: signals or weapons? Microbiology 1997, 143:693-709.
- Michelmore RW, Meyers BC: Clusters of resistance genes in plants evolve by divergent selection and a birth-and-death process.

Genome Res 1998, 8:1113-1130, Everything you always wanted to know about leucine-rich repeats, and a useful introduction to R gene evolution.

- Lorang JM, Shen H, Kobayashi D, Cooksey D, Keen NT: avrA and avrE in Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato PT23 play a role in virulence on tomato plants. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 1994, 7.208-215
- Ritter C, Dangl JL: The Pseudomonas syringae pv. maculicola avrRpm1 gene is required for virulence on Arabidopsis. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 1995, 8:444-453.
- Collmer A: Determinants of pathogenicity and avirulence in plant pathogenic bacteria. Curr Opin Plant Biol 1998, 1:329-335.
- Lindgren PB, Panapoulos NJ, Staskawicz BJ, Dahlbeck D: Genes required for pathogenicity and hypersensitivity are conserved among pathovars of Pseudomonas syringae. Mol Gen Genet 1988. 21:499-506.

- 10. Mudgett M, Staskawicz B: Protein signaling via type III secretion pathways in phytopathogenic bacteria. Curr Opin Microbiol 1998,
- 11. Leister RT, Ausubel FM, Katagiri F: Molecular recognition of pathogen attack occurs inside of plant cells in plant disease resistance specified by the Arabidopsis genes RPS2 and RPM1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996, 93:15497-15502.
- 12. Tang X, Frederick RD, Zhou J, Halterman DA, Jia Y, Martin GB: Physical interaction of avrPto and the Pto kinase defines a recognition event involved in plant disease resistance. Science 1996, 274:2060-2063.
- 13. Scofield SR, Tobias CM, Rathjen JP, Chang JH, Lavelle DT, Michelmore RW, Staskawicz BJ: Molecular basis of gene-for-gene specificity in bacterial speck disease of tomato. Science 1996, 274:2063-2065.
- 14. Bonas U, Van den Ackerveken G: Recognition of bacterial avirulence proteins occurs inside the plant cell: a general phenomenon in resistance to bacterial diseases? Plant J 1997, 12:1-7.
- 15. Stevens C, Bennett MA, Athanassopoulos E, Tsiamis G, Taylor JD, Mansfield JW: Sequence variation in alleles of the avirulence gene avrPphE.R2 from Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola lead to a loss of recognition of the AvrPphE protein with bean cells and a gain in cultivar-specific virulence. Mol Microbiol 1998, 29:165-177.
- 16. McNellis TW, Mudgett MB, Li K, Aoyama T, Horvath D, Chua N-H, Staskawicz BJ: Glucocorticoid-inducible expression of a bacterial avirulence gene in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana induces hypersensitive cell death. Plant J 1998, 14:247-258.

This, and related screens, will roll Arabidopsis genetics into the next phase of development with respect to plant defense response. Saturation mutatgenesis is within reach.

- Tobias CM, Oldroyd GE, Chang JH, Staskawicz BJ: Plants expressing the Pto disease resistance gene confer resistance to recombinant PVX containing the avirulence gene AvrPto. Plant J 1999. 17:41-50.
- 18. Duan YP, Castaneda A, Zhao G, Erdos G, Gabriel DW: Expression of a single, host-specific, bacterial pathogenicity gene in plant cells elicits division, enlargement, and cell death. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 1999, 12:556-560.

An important addition to the examples of how Type III effectors manipulate

- Bonas U, Van den Ackerveken G: Gene-for-gene interactions: bacterial avirulence proteins specify plant disease resistance. Curr Opin Microbiol 1999, 2:94-98.
- 20. Hacker J, Blum-Oehler G, Muehldorgfer I, Tschaepe H: Pathogenicity islands of virulent bacteria: structure, function and impact on microbial evolution. Mol Microbiol 1997, 23:1089-1097.
- 21. Hu P, Elliot J, McCready P, Skowronski E, Garnes J, Kobayashi A, Brubaker R, Garcia E: Structural organization of virulence-associated plasmids of Yersinia pestis. J Bacteriol 1998, 180:5192-5202.
- Leach JE, White FF: Bacterial avirulence genes. Annu Rev Phyopathol 1996, 34:153-179.
- 23. Jackson RW, Athanassopoulos E, Tsiamis G, Mansfield JW, Sesma A, Arnold DL, Gibbon MJ, Murillo J, Taylor JD, Vivian A: Identification of a pathogenicity island, which contains genes for virulence and avrulence, on a large native plasmid in the bean pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pathovar phaseolicola. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96:10875-10880.

A landmark paper which defines a suite of mix and match Type III effectors in a pathogenicity island embedded in a larger plasmid. Some of these effectors double as triggers of host disease resistance, and some function to inhibit avirulence functions in the bacterial chromosome.

- 24. Kim JF, Charkowski AO, Alfano JR, Collmer A, Beer S: Sequences related to transposable elements and bacteriophages flank avirulence genes in Pseudomonas syringae. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 1998, 11:1247-1252.
- 25. Arnold D, Brown R, Jackson R, Vivian A: A dispensable region of the chromosome which is associated with an avirulence gene in Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi. Microbiology 1999, 145:135-141.
- 26. Anderson DM, Schneewind O: A mRNA signal for the type III secretion of Yop proteins by Yersinia enterocolitica. Science 1997, 278:1140-11433.
- Anderson D. Schneewind O: Yersinia enterocolitica type III secretion: an mRNA signal that couples translation and secretion of YopQ. Mol Microbiol 1999, 31:1139-1148.

- 28. Bogdanove AJ, Beer SV, Bonas U, Boucher CA, Collmer A, Coplin DL, Cornelis GR, Huang HC, Hutcheson SW, Panopoulos NJ, Van Gijsegem F: **Unified nomenclature for broadly conserved** *hrp* genes of phytopathogenic bacteria. Mol Microbiol 1996, 20:681-683.
- 29. Alfano JR, Collmer A: The type III secretion pathway of plant pathogenic bacteria: trafficking harpins, avr proteins and death. J Bact 1997, 17779:5655-5662.
- 30. Anderson D, Fouts D, Collmer A, Schneewind O: Reciprocal secretion of proteins by the bacterial type III machines of plant and animal pathogens suggests universal recognition of mRNA targeting signals. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96:12839-1243.

This paper, along with [31°], demonstrates that Type III effectors can be secreted by heterologous hosts. Does this presage the finding of heterologous transfer of effectors between pathogens of the two kingdoms?

- Rossier O, Wengelnik K, Hahn K, Bonas U: The Xanthomonas Hrp type III system secretes proteins from plant and mammalian bacterial pathogens. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96:9368-73. See annotation [30].
- Huynh TV, Dahlbeck D, Staskawicz BJ: Bacterial blight of soybean: regulation of a pathogen gene determining host cultivar specificity. Science 1989, 245:1374-1377.
- van Dijk K, Fouts D, Rehm A, Hill A, Collmer A, Alfano J: The Avr (effector) proteins HrmA (HopPsyA) and AvrPto are secreted in culture from Pseudomonas syringae pathovars via the Hrp (type III) protein secretion system in a temperature- and pH-sensitive manner. J Bacteriol 1999, 181:4790-4797.
- 34. Mudgett M, Staskawicz B: Characterization of the Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato AvrRpt2 protein: demonstration of secretion and processing during bacterial pathogenesis. Mol Microbiol 1999, **32**:927-941.

AvrRpt2 is proteolytically cleaved by a host cytoplasmic protease. Is this sufficient evidence for translocation?

- Van den Ackerveken G, Marois E, Bonas U: Recognition of the bacterial AvrBs3 protein occurs inside the plant cell. Cell 1996, 87:1307-1316.
- 36. Zhu W, Yang B, Chittoor JM, Johnson LB, White FF: AvrXa10 contains an acidic transcriptional activation domain in the functionally conserved C terminus. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 1998, 11:824-832.
- Zhu W, Yang B, Kurata N, Johnson LB, White FF: The C terminus of AvrXa10 can be replaced by the transcriptional activation domain of VP16 from the herpes simplex virus. Plant Cell 1999, **11**:1665-1674.

If this Type III effector is a transcriptional activator, then what are the targets? Looks like a DNA array experiment waiting to happen.

- Johnson DR, Bhatnagar RS, Knoll LJ, Gordon JI: Genetic and biochemical studies of protein N-myristoylation. Annu Rev Biochem 1994, 63:869-914.
- Grant MR, Godiard L, Straube E, Ashfield T, Lewald J, Sattler A, Innes RW, Dangl JL: Structure of the Arabidopsis RPM1 gene enabling dual specificity disease resistance. Science 1995, 269:843-846.
- 40. Boyes DC, Nam J, Dangl JL: The Arabidopsis thaliana RPM1 disease resistance gene product is a peripheral plasma membrane protein that is degraded coincident with the hypersensitive response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998, 95:15849-15854.
- 41. Loh Y-T, Zhou J, Martin GB: The myristylation motif of Pto is not required for disease resistance. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 1998,
- 42. Wilson PT, Bourne HR: Fatty acylation of Gα, J Biol Chem 1995, 270:9667-9675.
- 43. Gabriel DW. Rolfe B: Working models of specific recognition in plant-microbe interactions. Annu Rev Phytopathol 1990, 28:365-391.
- Salmeron JM, Oldroyd GED, Rommens CMT, Scofield SR, Kim H-S, Lavelle DT, Dahlbeck D, Staskawicz BJ: Tomato Prf is a member of the leucine-rich repeat class of plant disease resistance genes and lies embedded within the Pto kinase gene cluster. Cell 1996, 86:123-133.
- Rathjen JP, Chang JH, Staskawicz BJ, Michelmore RW: Constitutively avtice Pto induces a Prf-dependent hypersensitive response in the absence of avrPto. EMBO J 1999, 18:3232-3240.

- 46. Orth K, Palmer LE, Bao ZQ, Stewar S, Rudolph AE, Bliska JB, Dixon JE: Inhibition of the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase superfamily by a Yersinia effector. Science 1999, 285:1920-1923.
- 47. Fu Y, Galan JE: A Salmonella protein antagonizes Rac-1 and Cdc42 to mediated host-cell recovery after bacterial invasion. Nature 1999, 401:293-297.
- 48. Brumell JH, Steele-Mortimer O, Finlay BB: Bacterial invasion: Force feeding by Salmonella. Curr Biol 1999, 9:277-280.
- 49. Ritter C, Dangl JL: Interference between two specific pathogen recognition events mediated by distinct plant disease resistance genes. Plant Cell 1996, 8:251-257.
- 50. Reuber TL, Ausubel FM: Isolation of Arabidopsis genes that differentiate between resistance responses mediated by the RPS2 and RPM1 disease resistance genes. Plant Cell 1996, 8:241-249.
- 51. Yang Y, Gabriel DW: Xanthomonas avirulence/pathogenicity gene family encodes functional plant nuclear targeting signals. Molec Plant-Microbe Interact 1995, 8:627-631.
- 52. Basim H, Stall RE, Minisavage G, Jones JB: Chromosomal gene transfer by conjugation in the plant pathogen Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. vesicatoria. Phytopathol 1999, 89:1044-1049.

This paper provides compelling evidence for horizontal transmission of chromosomal genes from a donor X. axonopodis pv. vesicatoria to a recipient strain of the same species.